

Environmental Sustainability Mentorship Committee

November 14th, 2017, CTO 6:21pm Room # Union EG79 Milwaukee

Roll Call

- 1) John McCune- present
- 2) Ashveer Singh- present
- 3) Marelvi Gaxhaj- excused
- 4) Alexis McAdams- present
- 5) Kelsey Lee- excused

- 6) Ben Schenck- present
- 7) Dakota Crowell- present
- 8) Angie Areizaga- present
- 9) Dave Rasmussen- present

- I. Approval of Agenda
 - a. Motion by McAdams, second by Singh. Motion passes unanimously
- II. Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting
 - a. Motion by Areizaga, second by Singh. Motion passes unanimously
- III. Reports
 - a. None
- IV. Open Forum
 - a. No members of the public present to speak
- V. Special Orders
 - a. None
- I. Old Business
 - i. None
- II. New Business
 - a. Discussing projects eligible for hearings
 - i. "If you kill the Earth your children will die"
 - 1. Ruled out because it's a joke
 - ii. "Natural Playscape for Hartford Elementary and UWM"
 - Ruled out because it would be for MPS students, not UWM students. Additionally, getting approval from MPS would be extremely difficult, per Kate Nelson.
 - iii. "Architecture for Birds"
 - 1. Ruled out because the request is to fund academic research.
 - iv. "C.Space"
 - 1. Ruled out because it would be on City property and should/would be funded by MCTS.
 - v. "School of Freshwater Green Roof"
 - Arguments for
 - a. Meaningful contribution to green infrastructure in MKE

- 2. Arguments against
 - a. We wouldn't see it on the main campus
 - b. Part of it seems to be for research
 - c. Not sure exactly what other funding could be available
- 3. Vote to grant a hearing- motion by Areizaga, second by Lee.
 - a. For: McCune, Singh, McAdams, Lee, Areizaga, Dave
 - b. Against: Schenck
 - c. Hearing Granted
- vi. "Ecovim Initiative"
 - Arguments for
 - a. Could be helpful in composting in campus kitchens
 - 2. Arguments against
 - a. Costs too much
 - b. Unclear what is and is not being composted already in campus kitchens
 - Little comprehension of how this would be really work expressed by the author.
 - d. McAdams
 - 3. Vote to grant a hearing- motion by McAdams, second by Lee
 - a. Unanimous vote against a hearing
- vii. "UWM Young Farmers Apprentice Program"
 - Arguments for
 - a. Cool initiative for students at UWM to learn about farming in an urban environment and fighting food insecurity
 - b. Madison has a similar project/org that is very successful
 - 2. Arguments against
 - a. Not really near the main campus
 - b. Lots of licensing and certification requirements
 - c. Risk of disease if food is not properly handled
 - d. Legal liability
 - 3. Vote to grant a hearing- Motion by McAdams, second by Rasmussen
 - a. For: McCune, Rasmussen
 - b. Against: Singh, McAdams, Schenck, Areizaga
 - c. Hearing denied
- viii. "UWM Bike Shelter Initiative"
 - Arguments for
 - a. Could motivate more people to bike on campus
 - b. Foster an eco-friendly biking culture at UWM
 - 2. Arguments against
 - a. Similar project being worked on by another entity so it would not be worth it for the ESMC
 - b. Not confident it really would motivate more students to bike
 - 3. Vote to grant a hearing- Motion by Alexis, Second by Singh
 - a. For: Mccune, Singh
 - b. Against: McAdams, Areizaga, Rasmussen
 - c. Abstentions: Schenck
 - d. Hearing denied
- ix. "Bathroom Composting"
 - Arguments for
 - a. Could significantly reduce waste
 - b. Possibility of scaling it down to make it more feasible
 - 2. Arguments against
 - a. There is a lot more to the composting process than is discussed in the proposal
 - b. Ample funding would be required to maintain this project

- c. There needs to be serious buy-in by custodial staff to enforce
- 3. Vote to grant a hearing- Motion by McAdams, second by Singh
 - a. For: McCune, Singh, Schenck, Areizaga
 - b. Against: McAdams
 - c. Hearing granted
- x. "The Bamboo Pavilion"
 - 1. Arguments for
 - a. Very visible
 - b. Good way to get the word out about the Green Fund
 - E. Easy to implement and fund
 - 2. Arguments against
 - a. No clear purpose or function
 - b. Could come off as a waste of money
 - 3. Unanimous vote to grant a hearing
- xi. "Ban the Bag"
 - Arguments for
 - a. Would be a great educational campaign for students
 - b. Could lead towards a policy change on camps
 - 2. Arguments against
 - a. Plastic bags aren't really a problem at UWM
 - 3. Vote to grant a hearing- motion by Areizaga, second by McAdams
 - a. For: Singh, McAdams, Areizaga, Rasmussen
 - b. Against: McCune, Schenck
 - c. Hearing Granted
- xii. "Solar Picnic Table"
 - Arguments for
 - a. Lots of utility for students
 - b. Highly visible
 - c. Great marketing for the Green Fund
 - 2. Arguments against
 - a. A bit pricy
 - b. Could take lots of time and energy to transport the solar panels. Plus, we would be using this to charge potentially inefficient electronics
 - 3. Vote to grant a hearing- motion by McAdams, second by Singh
 - a. For: McCune, Singh, McAdams, Areizaga, Rasmussen
 - b. Against: Schenck
 - c. Hearing granted
- xiii. Motion to reopen discussion to grant a hearing to "UWM Bike Shelter Initiative" by Areizaga, second by Rasmussen.
 - . Vote to grant a hearing- motion by Areizaga, second by Singh
 - a. For: McCune, Singh, Areizaga, Rasmussen
 - b. Against: McAdams
 - c. Abstentions: Schenck
 - d. Hearing granted

III. Questions and Concerns

- a. Availability of the ESMC to Applicants
 - Let's talk about making ourselves available to candidates beforehand so we could give feedback to applicants as to avoid disqualifying so many people on technicalities.

IV. Adjournment

 Motion to adjourn by Areizaga, second by McAdams. Motion passes unanimously.

