SAC MEETING
11/30/2018, CTO 3:10 pm
Room # G80
2200 East Kenwood Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 532

I. Call to Order
Call to order at 3:10 pm

II. Roll Call

Mary Gruel: Present
Josh Graybow: Present
Adrian Palau-Tejeda: Present
Courtney Raatz: Present
Emire Sewell: coming at 4:00 - arrives during recess
Briceda Perez: Excuse

III. Reports
Gruel: 35% cut to all grants: legislation will go to senate this upcoming Sunday 12/2
Kissack: Talking about how to handle potential pushback from RSOs
Graybow: Investigate way to reshape grant process – Adapt to RSO need
Raatz: Nothing to report
Adrian: Nothing to report

IV. Open Forum
Emma Horjus and Becky Eaton voice support for SAC, “you are doing great”.

V. Approval of Agenda
Motion to suspend rules of the day to jump to approval of previous Sac Grants by Palau-Tejeda. Second by Graybow. Motion Passes Unanimously.

VIII. Old Business
a. Approval of Previous SAC Grants
Committee discussion on button maker use by PULSE
Button maker available for free in Union Arts and Crafts Center

Motion to end discussion and vote by Graybow. Second by Palau-Tejeda. Motion Passes.
Motion to approve PULSE grant at what was previously approved (without Button maker) by Palau-Tejeda. Second by Graybow. Motion Passes.

**American Society of Civil Engineers Travel Grant**

Don’t have access to view document, improper form submission

Motion to approve $0.00 by Palau-Tejeda

Motion to have a brief recess in order to send out SAC grant legislation by Palau-Tejeda. Second by Raatz. Motion Passes.

**BRIEF RECESS**

**Call to Order at 3:44**

**V. Approval of Agenda**

Motion by Palau-Tejeda to approve the Agenda. Second by Graybow. Motion to approve agenda passes unanimously.

**VI. Approval of Previous Minutes**

Motion to package and approve minutes by Graybow Second by Palau-Tejeda. Motion Passes unanimously.

**VII. Special Orders**

N/A

**IX. Old Business**

b. SAC Grant Appeal Process

Kissack: Senate can’t approve automatic denials. SAC Appeals will be under special orders for senate 12/2 Senate will approve whether RSO will get funds according to bylaws.

c. SAC Grant Training Survey

Graybow states interest in working on survey with guidance from Kissack

Kissack: Strengthen training for both RSOs and SAC committee members. How to structure to circumnavigate complaints on cuts this semester?

Capped at 2 people working on project, to circumnavigate official meeting. Emire and Graybow will work on survey. Must have draft of survey sent to the committee by 12/5, and survey sent out by the 12/10.

**X. New Business**

a. Final SAC Allocation tools

Gruel: talking to Tom Dake. Proposes to create an info page on cuts

Palau-Tejeda: Limit amount of emails that come back. Include section “we welcome you to” show up to meetings, senate, etc.

Kissack: Survey place to submit feedback

Gruel: Townhall for future feedback. Best held before finals at end of the semester.

Kissack: Survey may be more feasible than townhall.

Graybow: Better to have town hall next semester

Raatz: Survey now to have immediate feedback and consider townhall for future (not this semester).
Sewell: Agree with Raatz

Kissack: Positive that SAC has allocated as many funds as it has.
Graybow: How to get more funds for SAC grants
Kissack: Resource centers are nonallocable, funded through segregated fees
Gruel: If we are to request more funds in the future, it will leech money from other sources
Graybow: Take money from nonallocable sources
Kissack: Cannot do that, two different areas of funding
Graybow: No say over where money goes?
Gruel: Look at what we can do with current resources.

b. SAC Grant Process Reflection
Kissack: Data informed discussion, what do RSOs apply most for
Gruel: Limit discussion to 10 minutes on caps
Kissack: SI is creating a group to discuss large event grants. 16 filed this semester, originally intended to spend reserves which are depleted.
Palau-Tejeda: If you request one large event grant, you cannot request more event grants
Raatz: Look to cap travel grants versus event grants because most students prosper from local, campus events
Graybow: Disagrees vehemently. Event grants don’t have enough caps.
Gruel: Irresponsible to cap event grants without also capping travel. We have potential to not do large event grants.
Palau-Tejeda: Likes idea of large event grants
Graybow: Orgs shouldn’t be getting funds to cover 100% of event, should have responsibility to fundraise
Gruel: Think about where our money is coming from, total of all students. Affect the largest number of students possible. Do not
Kissack: May be great point to put on survey
Gruel: Think of purpose of committee. Will send out a doodle poll about meeting to further discuss bylaw changes and caps.

c. Professionalism
During SAC grant hearings increase professionalism. Formal complaint about committee and lack of engagement with speakers and those presenting

Have been using old bylaws; however, only revisions were to the role of vice chair: takes on the role of secretary. Vice Chair has been functioning in this role already.

Kissack: Committee should study policy 820 for next semester

XI. Questions and Concerns
Graybow: include something in appeals about timeline to voice concerns: i.e. open forum. Go into serious discussion on bylaws and caps during next meeting.
Palau-Tejeda: Increase times RSOs can come to hearings, get more information on process.

Gruel: Bylaw discussion will be for next meeting

XII. Adjournment
Motion to adjourn by Sewell. Second by Raatz. Motion passes with an abstention from Sewell.

Meeting adjourned at 5:00 pm