STUDENT ASSOCIATION
AT THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MILWAUKEE

SAC MEETING

2/4/2019, CTO 4:05 pm
Room # G80

I. Call to Order

4:05pm

II. Roll Call

1) ***Mary Gruel- Present***
2) ***Charlie Turchan- Present***
3) ***Daniel Schindler - Present***
4) ***Josh Graybow- Present***
5) ***Courtney Raatz- Present***
6) ***Emire Sewell - Present***

III. Reports

Kissack: SAC Training hopefully finished training booklet same as last semester, simply updating dates and links
Sewell: Nothing to report
Raatz: Nothing to Report
Schindler: Nothing to Report
Graybow: Nothing to Report
Turchan: Nothing to Report
Gruel: Sent out training and hearing dates to RSOs February 1st.

IV. Open Forum

Josh Rivers: Introduces Katrina Schmidt master's student in anthropology. Here to view SAC, is interested in joining as RSO representative

V. Approval of Agenda

a. Motion by Daniel to approve the Agenda. Second by Emire.

Motion to amend agenda by adding "discussion on collaborative event grant" as Old Business e. by Graybow. Second by Schindler. Motion Passes.

No further discussion.

Motion to approve agenda as amended passes unanimously.

VI. Approval of Previous Minutes

a. Minutes December 14th 2018
b. Minutes January 1st 2019
Motion to package and approve previous SAC minutes by Daniel. Second by Charlie. Motion Passes.

VII. Special Orders

VIII. Old Business

a. Committee Introductions
SA Position? Favorite movie? Why Here?
Charlie: Peck School Senator, up on poppy hill, interested in making process better for RSOs. Wants to make communication more efficient.
Graybow: Avatar(blue people). Wants to make SAC as efficient and fair as possible.
Daniel: Star Wars episode III. Hoping to bring experience from UW Waukesha. Enjoyable process.
Courtney: Helen Bader school of social welfare Senator. Into the Wild. Applicable to potential field, here to learn.
Emire: at Large. Any Harry Potter. Still here became interested in process, wants to make
Quincy: will be at every meeting. Professional staff. Wants to make SAC Process a developmental process.
Mary: Chair. On SUFAC (like SFC) at Washington County. Titanic.

Discussion on suspending the rules of the day:

Graybow: Wants to fix CAPS soon, doesn't think pushing old business back will be beneficial. Wants to fix what's happening wrong this semester.

Gruel: Nothing for sure yet, Trainings start next week. Could push process back to change process beforehand. Think bylaw changes over duration of semester. Changes "set in stone" for Spring 2020. May be switching to a new platform. Engage may be shutdown April 14th.

Agrees with Gruel's sentiments. Worried for reputation.

Kissack: "budgetary reality" is that cuts will now occur regulary. There is no set-in stone budget.

Graybow: Minimize cuts by creating caps that are fair. Thinks people will overestimate budgetary needs.

Kissack: Bylaws need to be drafted by this upcoming Thursday.

Graybow: Need to fix caps this semester.

Raatz: Proceed with neutrality training first because it is necessary. Then address caps. Do not think it is feasible to change caps for this semester, but the committee can schedule specific meeting to discuss them.

Sewell: Change one cap for semester and worry about rest later?

Graybow: Fears that SAC image will look poor if we do not have caps.

Daniel: Timeline is unfeasible.

Charlie: Do viewpoint neutrality training now, create new meeting to discuss caps, and commit to think about those caps in interim.

Motion to suspend rules of the day to move new business before old by Raatz. Second by Turchan. Abstention from Graybow. Motion passes.

IX. New Business

a. **Viewpoint Neutrality Training**

Led by Quincy Kissack
Reviewed scenarios 4, 6, 5, 7, 9, and 11.

Gruel: Every member of SAC will eventually have a role for Grant Hearings

Graybow: We *can* ask if RSOs are looking into alternative sources of funding. Should ask more questions during hearing in general.

Josh Rivers: Ask about extra funding in application, there is already a brief question – can elaborate.

b. SAC Grant Training Presentation

Led by Quincy Kissack
PowerPoint outlined in SAC Committee Member binders.

Josh Rivers - clarifying Q: does honorarium include just the speaking fee? Might wat to clarify point in slide

Turchin: What about all inclusive honorarium fees?
Rivers: Ask for honorarium to give a break down

Decision: Clarify to RSO's that if an honorarium asks for all-inclusive fee, they need to ask for a breakdown of that fee (what is travel, lodging, speaking, etc.)

Daniel: add line for "speaking fee" under honorarium.

Kissack: Student involvement is creating new internal guidelines for Large event grants. Cannot sustain amount approved last semester (16).

Gruel: Add to PowerPoint that Operation grants can be used for project builds.

Daniel: After training is over will RSO's have access to PowerPoint?
Kissack/Gruel: Yes.

Daniel: Can we have examples of justifications that will not work?

Kissack: yes, if someone will take time

X. Questions and Concerns

Gruel: Sent out email with grant training schedule and who will be co-presenting.

Motion to approve cap adjustments before funding round by Graybow. Second by Raatz.

Discussion:
Schindler: Were caps a problem last semester?
Gruel: Across board 35.4% cut.
Schindler: Must do cuts in thoughtful manner, consider all at once.
Instead of having physical booklets, email out pamphlets

Move to vote. Abstention from Emire. Motion Passes.

Next meeting will be Sunday after Senate.

Motion to table rest of agenda for next meeting by Schindler. Second by Graybow. Motion passes.

XI. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn by Turchin. Second by Schindler. Motion passes unanimously.

Meeting adjourned at 6:07 pm