SAC Kickstart Grant MEETING
12/14/2018, CTO 3: am/pm
Room # G80
Full Location Addres

I. Call to Order
3:14

II. Roll Call
Mary Gruel: Present
Courtney Raatz: Present
Josh Graybow: Present
Adrian Palau-Tejeda: Present
Bri Perez: Excused
Emire: Excused until 4:15

III. Reports
Graybow: Want more people to delegate responsibilities, more responsibilities for SAC Palau-Tejeda
Raatz:
Kissack: Revising training process, and after done will submit to SAC to review.

IV. Open Forum
No members from the public wishing to speak.

V. Approval of Agenda
a. Motion by Raatz to approve the Agenda. Second by Graybow. Motion to approve agenda passes unanimously.

VI. Approval of minutes
Motion bt graybow second by Graybow

VII. Special Orders
I. Old Business

II. New Business
a. Fall 2019 SAC Planning
   Recruit committee members before training
   Gruel: making binders for next semester with bylaws, policy 820, etc.
   Kissac: cannot do last week of February
Graybow: Emergency session of training for those with legitimate reasons for missing documentation?

Grant training week of 11-15? Wednesday-Wednesday
Push up further?
Kissack: Must meet in Spring and officially approve dates for training. Send out grant announcement sooner this semester.
Gruel: feels more comfortable with the later dates. Deadline for grant submissions: March 6?
Graybow: Send out in initial grant announcement email
Gruel: Applications due roughly on 4th and do hearings week before and week after spring break.
Kissack: Likes split. Would prefer if SAC grant hearings, if on weekends, are on Senate meeting day. Doesn’t think it is possible to have all hearings in two weeks.
Gruel: May be gone on April 4th. Have grants due 4th and push up hearings, starting on 6th.

b. Large Event Grant

hard for us to budget and for SI to support: hard to provide assistance to RSOs. Working with SI to provide perspective: SAC may change large event grant process.

Graybow: Suggestions – RSO can only apply for large event grant, no other event grants. Limit event grants
Kissack: would need to make a viewpoint neutral process if making large event grants competitive.
Gruel: feels that large event grants were primarily to spend reserves. Historically has not offered this grant.
Graybow: Big events should be supported; however, limit ability to get funds from other event grants.
Palau-Tejeda: Large event grants should be reserved for high profile figures to bring recognition to campus.
Raatz: Still weighing concepts.
Gruel: must make caps stricter in a couple places. Large event grants quadrupled this semester.
Palau-Tejeda: Raise event grants to $8,000, disallow them to apply for more
Sewell: Best to cut large event grants. Meant to spend reserves in past, now that
Kissack: Different RSO’s have different missions. Bylaws 120-125. Bring recognition to UWM. Encourage on campus events.
Graybow: “huge issue” for one RSO to have 4 event grants
Gruel:

c. SAC Cap Considerations
Palau-Tejeda- Must “tacle funding question”
Kissack: Student involvement issue. Can work with student involvement to address issue, but must keep in mind this would be a “huge cultural shift” for RSO
Overall Cap caps for registration, do not have Caps for lodging.
Kissack: cultural consideration to have two separate

Sam: Can’t expect to request event grant without prior fundraising or outside support. Previously SAC advertised to most RSOs to spend as much as possible. Build fundraising ideas into training.
Not SACs responsibility to take on fundraising.
Graybow:
Palau-Tejeda: Problem with culture argument. Shouldn’t wait for student involvement to “get off their asses” decrease event grants to two. These are “concrete” ideas.
Sewell: Fundraising good idea, but instead of implementing next semester, discuss
Sam: Currently with cut, forcing RSO’s to fundraise anyways.
Sewell: fundraiser
Graybow: culture shift issue: most RSOs have large shifts in officials, educating new populous.
Gruel: Max of four instead of three grants. Make caps to travel: RSO can only apply to one per academic year. Affects the least number of individuals on campus.
Palau-Tejeda: submit fundraising plan
Kissack: already required to sign agreement with SI. Fund international travel?
Graybow: Travel. Tell people you go to UW-Milwaukee. Provides
Sewell: way to limit travel grants: limit to only leadership grants?
Kissack: look at applications
Graybow: 3 versus 5 night cap. Make one hotel room cap.
Gruel: overall cap on travel grant of 3,000.
Graybow: 2,500.00. raise registration cap per person to $300.00
Kissack: making very quick decisions. Take time to think
Gruel: Get data from union marketing. Wants to effectively cut. Committee Homework over winter break: how to rework travel grant, large event grants.
Get some data on travel grant. Give ideas via email week before next semester.

d. SAC Bylaw considerations
Gruel: Wants procedure for death, emergency procedures, valid excuses. Up to committee discretion with committee vote? Currently no leeway in bylaws.
Graybow will be involved in looking at making process viewpoint neutral.

Appeal process:
Graybow: Very clearly state OAC appeal process first, before senate
Kissack: issue with OAC fist because senate could overturn OAC decision.
Gruel: Take senate out of appeals process.
Graybow: Proposal to make hearings optional. Make clear statement: if there is something we don’t understand about grant, cannot accept. Will write out proposed bylaw change.

III. Questions and Concerns
Gruel: Emails about whether two event grants be used for one event rant after cut.
Kissack: look at Line 203 b
Graybow: Can combine and fund up to caps already in place.
Motion to approve allowing RSOs to consolidate event grants at no higher than their respective caps no higher than what their preliminary approval with conditions that 1. Individual caps within the grants cannot be exceeded 2. They must fill out a redistribution form. Motion by Graybow second by Emire. Motions passes unanimously.
Graybow: Look at hearing ideas: what we can do to improve the experience.

IV. Adjournment
Motion to adjourn by Raatz. Second by Palau-Tejeda. Motion passes unanimously.

Meeting adjourned at 5:14 pm