

	<b>Scientific Practices</b> (e.g., argumentation and investigation)	<b>Science Content</b> (i.e., scientific body of knowledge)	<b>Integration of Content and Practices</b>
 Cognitive Demand Levels	<b>5</b>		
	<b>DOING SCIENCE TASKS</b>		
	<b>4</b>		
	<b>TASKS INVOLVING GUIDANCE FOR UNDERSTANDING</b>		
	<b>3</b>		
	<b>Guided Practices (GP)</b> Being guided for understanding practices	<b>Guided Content (GC)</b> Being guided for understanding particular content	
<b>2</b>	<b>Scripted Practices (SP)</b> Following a script to work on practices	<b>Scripted Content (SC)</b> Following a script about a content	<b>Scripted Integration (SI)</b> Following a script to work on practices tied to content
<b>1</b>	<b>Memorized Practices (MP)</b> Reproducing definitions/ explanations of practices	<b>Memorized Content (MC)</b> Reproducing definitions, formulas, or principles about particular content	
<b>MEMORIZATION TASKS</b>			

Tekkumru-Kisa, M. Stein, M.K., & Schunn, C. (2015). *A Framework for Analyzing Cognitive Demand and Content-Practices Integration: Task Analysis Guide in Science*. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 52(5), pp. 659-685.

	Low Cognitive Demand		High Cognitive Demand	
	Tasks	Teacher Actions	Tasks	Teacher Actions
Experimentation	<p>Students—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>follow a <b>highly specified</b> procedure.</li> <li>do not make choices about what data to collect or how to collect it.</li> <li>are not engaged in being critical about the data collection procedure.</li> </ul>	<p>The teacher—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>does not help students understand that data collection is occurring in the service of answering a question.</li> <li>introduces the experiment after she/he has already provided didactic information on the underlying concepts.</li> </ul>	<p>Students—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>must <b>make decisions</b> about <b>what</b> data to collect and/or <b>how</b> to collect it.</li> <li><b>compare/contrast or critique</b> experimental protocols, considering issues such as reliability and “fit” between data gathered and the underlying question driving the experiment.</li> </ul>	<p>The teacher—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ensures that students understand how their data collection must help them achieve the goal of answering a particular question.</li> </ul>
Data Representation, Analysis, and Interpretation	<p>Students—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>follow specific instructions about how to transform (e.g., calculate the mean temperature) and/or represent data (e.g., draw a bar graph).</li> <li>answer specific questions about the data (e.g., In which city is the average monthly temperature highest?).</li> </ul>	<p>The teacher—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>accepts only very specific representation types or strategies. (i.e., multiple solutions or strategies are not possible).</li> <li>does not press for students to justify their answers using the data representations.</li> </ul>	<p>Students—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>seek to describe general</b> (e.g., the S-shaped growth curve of Fast Plants) and specific (e.g., trematode infection is 4–5 times higher in Charles, Emerald, and Baker ponds than in other ponds) <b>patterns</b> that are evident in the data.</li> <li><b>select</b> what data to represent and/or <b>how</b> to represent it.</li> <li><b>compare/contrast</b> various representations, considering issues such as the ease with which various patterns or relationships can be visualized.</li> </ul>	<p>The teacher—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>provides opportunities for students to share and discuss a variety of data representations.</li> <li>requires students to provide a rationale for the choices they have made related to transforming or representing data.</li> <li>requires students to identify specific data or elements of data representations that provide evidence for the patterns/trends they’ve identified.</li> </ul>
Explanation	<p>Students—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>provide explanations without justification</b> or specific connection to data.</li> <li><b>repeat factual knowledge</b> previously learned.</li> </ul>	<p>The teacher—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>requests</b> discrete answers to questions without justification (e.g., <i>What causes a solar eclipse?</i> [answer] <i>The Moon blocking the Sun.</i>)</li> </ul>	<p>Students—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>provide explanations</b> with justification.</li> <li>are engaged in <b>developing new explanatory knowledge</b>.</li> <li>are critical of the explanations offered by others, requesting clarification and supporting evidence when appropriate.</li> <li><b>draw upon a variety of representational tools</b> (e.g., diagrams, tables, simulations) to communicate with peers.</li> </ul>	<p>The teacher—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>presses students to provide explanations and to justify their assertions.</li> <li>provides opportunities for students to share and critique one another’s explanations.</li> <li>encourages students to use a variety of tools to communicate.</li> </ul>

Carter, J.L., Smith, M.S., Stein, M.K., & Ross, D.K. (2013). *5 Practices for Orchestrating Productive Task-Based Discussions in Science*. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.