Milwaukee Comprehensive Crash Analysis



Robert J. Schneider, PhD, Professor of Urban Planning Project team: Toole Design Group, UW-Milwaukee & City of Milwaukee – October 2022

Reckless Driving



N. 51st St & W. Fairmount Ave, October 2019

Reckless Driving



N. Humboldt Blvd, July 2021

Reckless Driving



W. Center St & N. 22nd St, October 2019: A'Mea N. Gee (age 4); Lisa Z. Gee (age 6)

Reckless Driving → Systemic Solutions

VS

TRADITIONAL APPROACH

Traffic deaths are INEVITABLE

PERFECT human behaviour

Prevent COLLISIONS

INDIVIDUAL responsibility

Saving lives is **EXPENSIVE**

VISION ZERO

Traffic deaths are **PREVENTABLE**

Integrate HUMAN FAILING in approach Prevent FATAL AND SEVERE CRASHES

SYSTEMS approach

Saving lives is **NOT EXPENSIVE**

Source: Vision Zero Network. 2022. https://visionzeronetwork.org/where-to-start/

Reckless Driving \rightarrow Systemic Solutions

TRADITIONAL APPROACH		VISION ZERO
Traffic deaths are INEVITABLE		Traffic deaths are PREVENTABLE
PERFECT human behaviour		Integrate HUMAN FAILING in approach
Prevent COLLISIONS	S	Prevent FATAL AND SEVERE CRASHES
INDIVIDUAL responsibility		SYSTEMS approach
Saving lives is EXPENSIVE		Saving lives is NOT EXPENSIVE

Source: Vision Zero Network. 2022. https://visionzeronetwork.org/where-to-start/

Risk Factors: Speed

>15% of vehicles exceeded the speed limit by 10 mph or more on:

- S. 35th Street
- W. Capitol Drive
- N. 68th Street
- N. 35th Street
- N. Sherman Boulevard
- N. 84th Street
- N. 20th Street



- Locust Street Bridge over the Milwaukee River
- N. 51st Boulevard
- S. Clement Avenue

(only a small sample of city streets were studied)

Source: Milwaukee Pedestrian Plan, 2019. https://city.milwaukee.gov/ImageLibrary/Groups/cityBikePed/2019-Images/Pedestrian-Plan/MilwaukeePedestrianPlan-FINALAdopted20190730reduced.pdf

Reckless Driving \rightarrow Systemic Solutions



Complete Streets is a process and approach

Not just a product or single type of street.

Source: Smart Growth America, National Complete Streets Coalition. 2022. https://smartgrowthamerica.org/what-are-complete-streets/

Reckless Driving \rightarrow Systemic Solutions



MILWAUKEE PEDESTRIAN PLAN

July 2019

Pedestrian High-Injury Network \rightarrow

Source: Milwaukee Pedestrian Plan, 2019. https://city.milwaukee.gov/ImageLibrary/Groups/cityBikePed/2019-Images/Pedestrian-Plan/MilwaukeePedestrianPlan-FINALAdopted20190730reduced.pdf



Milwaukee Crash Analysis: Establishing a Baseline for Vision Zero

- 1) Milwaukee traffic crash trends
- 2) Factors associated with fatal and severe injuries
- Geographic distribution of risk



Milwaukee Crash Analysis



City of Milwaukee Total Traffic Injuries (1994-2020)



Source: City of Milwaukee, Department of Public Works. Milwaukee Crash Analysis. Draft. September 2022.

453,542 crashes; 214,295 inj.



City of Milwaukee Pedestrian Injuries (1994-2020)



Pedestrian Crashes per 10,000 population by Age Group (1994-2020)



Bicycle Crashes per 10,000 population by Age Group (1994-2020)



Motor vehicle movement prior to crash

- Majority = straight
- Left turn vs. Right turn
 - Motor vehicle crash: 9% vs. 3%
 - Bicyclist crash: 12% vs. 23%
 - Pedestrian crash: 14% vs. 9% (left increasing over time)

Light Conditions of Crashes involving a Pedestrian in Milwaukee from 1994 - 2020



Total Hit-and-Run Crashes (1994-2020)

Milwaukee, WI



	Population (2020)	Total Crashes (2017-20)	Hit-and-Run Crashes (2017-20)	Hit-and- Run %
Milwaukee	577,222	75,530	24,363	32%
Madison	269,840	19,664	3,230	16%
Green Bay	1 07 ,395	3,626	452	12%
Kenosha	99,986	8,657	1,803	20%
Racine	77,816	8,664	2,509	29%
Appleton	75,644	5,375	485	9%
Waukesha	71,158	5,220	554	10%
Eau Claire	69,421	7,369	1,540	20%
Oshkosh	<mark>66,8</mark> 16	5,664	529	9%
Janesville	65,615	6,315	1,066	17%
Statewide	5,893,718	468,402	76,396	16%

Alcohol Invovivement in City of Milwaukee Auto Crashes (1994-2020)



Drug Involvement in City of Milwaukee Auto Crashes (1994-2020)



Table 26: Relationships between Fatal and Severe Injuries and Demographic Characteristics (Part 2: Sex and Race)

		Driver				Pedest	rian		Bicycle				
Variable	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	
Driver Sex	223,789	100%	0.88%		2,952	100%	16 .02 %		1,113	100%	6.47%		
Male	127,730	57.08%	1.04%	+++	1750	59.28%	17.89%	+++	653	58.67%	7.81%	+	
Female	96,059	42.92%	0.66%		1202	40.72%	13.31%		460	41.33%	4.57%	-	
Pedestrian Sex					4,074	100%	16.67%						
Male					2,336	57.34%	18.36%	+++					
Female					1,738	42.66%	14.38%						
Bicyclist Sex									1,400	100%	6 .7 1%		
Male									1,121	80.07%	7.14%	NS	
Female									279	19.93%	5.02%	NS	

Table 26: Relationships between Fatal and Severe Injuries and Demographic Characteristics (Part 2: Sex and Race)

		Driver				Pedest	rian		Bicycle				
Variable	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	
Driver Sex	223,789	100%	0.88%		2,952	100%	16 .02 %		1,113	100%	6.47%		
Male	127,730	57.08%	1.04%	+++	1750	59.28%	17.89%	+++	653	58.67%	7.81%	+	
Female	96,059	42.92%	0.66%		1202	40.72%	13.31%		460	41.33%	4.57%	-	
Pedestrian Sex					4,074	100%	16.67%						
Male					2,336	57.34%	18.36%	+++					
Female					1,738	42.66%	14.38%						
Bicyclist Sex									1,400	100%	6.71%		
Male									1,121	80.07%	7.14%	NS	
Female									279	19.93%	5.02%	NS	

Male drivers & victims, Black drivers, Older victims

(age 55-74 victim crashes becoming more common)

Table 23: Relationships between Fatal and Severe Injuries and Street Characteristics

	Driver					Pedes	trian		Bicycle			
Variable	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig. ¹	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig. ¹	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1
Speed Limit	153,916	100.0%	0.8 3%		4,101	100.0%	16.5 8 %		1,422	100.0%	6.6 8 %	
<30 mph	42,366	27.5%	0.66%		912	22.2%	15.46%	NS	302	21.2%	7.28%	NS
30-35 mph	104,500	67.9%	0.86%	NS	3,062	74.7%	16.79%	NS	1,087	76.4%	6.44%	NS
>35 mph	7,050	4.6%	1.42%	+++	127	3.1%	19.69%	NS	33	2.3%	9.09%	NS
AADT ²	153,916	100.0%	0.83%		4,101	100.0%	16.5 8 %		1,422	100.0%	6.68%	
<1,000	20,536	13.3%	0.58%		475	11.6%	14.53%	NS	137	9.6%	7.30%	NS
1,000-3,000	10,228	6.6%	0.83%	NS	234	5.7%	16.24%	NS	78	5.5%	10.26%	NS
3,000-5,000	5,967	3.9%	0.57%	-	130	3.2%	17.69%	NS	51	3.6%	3.92%	NS
5,000-10,000	24,225	15.7%	0.71%	-	650	15.8%	15.69%	NS	242	17.0%	8.26%	NS
10,000-15,000	27,923	18.1%	0.92%	NS	861	21.0%	16.38%	NS	313	22.0%	6.07%	NS
15,000-20,000	20,741	13.5%	0.80%	NS	622	15.2%	14.63%	NS	294	20.7%	5.44%	NS
20,000-30,000	29,377	19.1%	0.98%	++	779	19.0%	19.00%	+	215	15.1%	6.51%	NS
>30,000	14,287	9.3%	1.04%	++	345	8.4%	19.71%	NS	92	6.5%	6.52%	NS

Higher speed limits; Higher traffic volumes

Table 23: Relationships between Fatal and Severe Injuries and Street Characteristics

		Drive	er		Pedestrian				Bicycle			
Variable	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig. ¹	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig. ¹	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1
Speed Limit	153,916	100.0%	0.83%		4,101	100.0%	16.58%		1,422	100.0%	6.6 8 %	
<30 mph	42,366	27.5%	0.66%		912	22.2%	15.46%	NS	302	21.2%	7.28%	NS
30-35 mph	104,500	67.9%	0.86%	NS	3,062	74.7%	16.79%	NS	1,087	76.4%	6.44%	NS
>35 mph	7,050	4.6%	1.42%	+++	127	3.1%	19.69%	NS	33	2.3%	9.09%	NS
AADT ²	153,916	100.0%	0.83%		4,101	100.0%	16.58%		1,422	100.0%	6.68%	
<1,000	20,536	13.3%	0.58%		475	11.6%	14.53%	NS	137	9.6%	7.30%	NS
1,000-3,000	10,228	6.6%	0.83%	NS	234	5.7%	16.24%	NS	78	5.5%	10.26%	NS
3,000-5,000	5,967	3.9%	0.57%	-	130	3.2%	17.69%	NS	51	3.6%	3.92%	NS
5,000-10,000	24,225	15.7%	0.71%	-	650	15.8%	15.69%	NS	242	17.0%	8.26%	NS
10,000-15,000	27,923	18.1%	0.92%	NS	861	21.0%	16.38%	NS	313	22.0%	6.07%	NS
15,000-20,000	20,741	13.5%	0.80%	NS	622	15.2%	14.63%	NS	294	20.7%	5.44%	NS
20,000-30,000	29,377	19.1%	0.98%	++	779	19.0%	19.00%	+	215	15.1%	6.51%	NS
>30,000	14,287	9.3%	1.04%	++	345	8.4%	19.71%	NS	92	6.5%	6.52%	NS

Higher speed limits; Higher traffic volumes

Table 24: Relationships between Fatal and Severe Injuries and Location and Environment Characteristics

		Driver				Ped	estrian		Bicycle			
Variable	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1
Location Type	153,916	100.0%	0.83%		4,101	100.0%	16.58%		1,422	100.0%	6.6 8 %	
Intersection	98,918	64.3%	0.75%		3,003	73.2%	13.99%		1,168	82.1%	6.76%	NS
Non-Intersection	54,998	35.7%	0.97%	+++	1,098	26.8%	23.68%	+++	254	17.9%	6.30%	NS
Intersection Type	98,918	100.0%	0.7 5%		3,003	100.0%	13.99%		1,168	1 00.0 %	6.68%	
Signalized Intersection	44,898	45.39%	0.74%	NS	1,553	51.71%	14.68%	NS	526	45.03%	5.51%	NS
Non-signalized Intersection	54,020	54.61%	0.75%	NS	1,450	48.29%	13.24%	NS	642	54.97%	7.79%	NS
Light Conditions ²	146, 78 9	100.0%	0.8 4%		3,916	100.0%	16.60%		1,633	100.0%	22.23%	
Daytime	96,155	65.5%	0.61%		2,329	59.5%	12.15%		1,031	63.1%	6.01%	
Darkness with street lighting	49,447	33.7%	1.28%	+++	1,525	38.9%	23.08%	+++	578	35.4%	50.00%	+++
Darkness with no street lighting	1,201	0.8%	0.67%	NS	62	1.6%	24.19%	NS	24	1.5%	50.00%	+++

Non-intersections (non-intersection crashes becoming more common); **Darkness**



Table 28: Relationships between Fatal and Severe Injuries and Driver Actions

	. ,												
		Drive	er			Pedest	rian		Bicycle				
Variable	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K+A	Sig.1	
Driver Action	213,587	100%	0.82%		3,439	100%	16.49%		1,239	100%	6.38%		
Left Turn	25,303	11.85%	0.44%		829	24.11%	9.17%		219	17.68%	7.31%	NS	
Right Turn ²	10,121	4.74%	0.17%		432	12.56%	7.41%		318	25.67%	2.20%		
Going Straight ³	166,211	77.82%	0.89%	+++	2,137	62.14%	21.01%	+++	654	52.78%	7.80%	+	
Overtaking on left	1,002	0.47%	1.70%	++	13	0.38%	15.38%	NS	4	0.32%	0.00%	NS	
Overtaking on right	985	0.46%	1.42%	+	14	0.41%	35.71%	NS	6	0.48%	16.67%	NS	
Violating no pass zone	81	0.04%	0.00%	NS	3	0.09%	66.67%	+	2	0.16%	0.00%	NS	
Merging or changing lanes	5,664	2.65%	0.44%		10	0.29%	10.00%	NS	5	0.40%	20.00%	NS	
Other	4,220	1.98%	1.59%	+++	1	0.03%	0.00%	NS	3	2.50%	9.68%	NS	

Going straight; Passing on left or right (passing crashes becoming more common)

Table 30: Relationship	between Fatal and	Severe injuries and	d Drug and Alco	hol Involvement
------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	-----------------	-----------------

		Driver				Pedes	trian		Bicycle				
	n	% of total crashes	% K&A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K&A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K&A	Sig.1	
Alcohol ²	110,671	100%	1.00%		2,681	100%	15.52%		1,031	100%	5.92%		
Involved	4,812	4.35%	4.16%	+++	275	10.26%	33.82%	+++	35	3.39%	20.00%	+++	
Not Involved	105,859	95.65%	0.85%		2,406	89.74%	13.42%		996	96.61%	5.42%		
Drugs ²	110,220	100%	1.00%		2,590	100%	15 .02 %		1,023	100%	5 .87 %		
Involved	1,172	1.06%	9.39%	+++	64	2.47%	68.75%	+++	9	0.88%	44.44%	+++	
Not Involved	109,048	98.94%	0.91%		2,526	97.53%	13.66%		1,014	99.12%	5.52%		

Alcohol or Drug involvement (drug crashes becoming more common)

Table 29: Relationship between Fatal and Severe injuries and Hit-and-Run Crashes

	Driver					Pedes	trian		Bicycle			
	n	% of total crashes	% K&A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K&A	Sig.1	n	% of total crashes	% K&A	Sig.1
All crashes	153,916	100%	0.83%		4,101	100%	16.58%		1,422	100%	6.68%	
Hit-and-run crashes	43,792	28.45%	0.38%		1,438	35.06%	20.58%	+++	371	26.09%	9.16%	+
Not hit- and-run crashes	110,124	71.55%	1.01%	+++	<mark>2,66</mark> 3	64.94%	14.42%		1,051	73.91%	5.80%	

Hit-and-Run (for pedestrians & bicyclists) (hit & run becoming more common)

Lower-income area overrepresentation

- Male & younger
- 30-35 MPH
- <1K & 10K-20K AADT
- Passing on left/right
- Alcohol & drug
- Hit-and-run



Pedestrian High-Injury Network

Source: City of Milwaukee, Department of Public Works. Milwaukee Crash Analysis. Draft. September 2022.

Figure 13: Pedestrian High Injury Network



Bicyclist High-Injury Network

Source: City of Milwaukee, Department of Public Works. Milwaukee Crash Analysis. Draft. September 2022.

Figure 14: Bicyclist High Injury Network



Motorist High-Injury Network

Source: City of Milwaukee, Department of Public Works. Milwaukee Crash Analysis. Draft. September 2022.

Figure 15: Motorist High Injury Network



Combined High-Injury Network



How should we create a safer system?



A few thoughts...

Work with Community: Slow Vehicle Speeds



Work with Community: Slow Vehicle Speeds







W. Galena St



Increase walking, bicycling & transit

All else equal, communities that have more walking and bicycling are safer for pedestrians, bicyclists, and <u>everyone</u>

Source: Jacobsen, P.L. "Safety in Numbers: More Walkers and Bicyclists, Safer Walking and Bicycling," Injury Prevention, Volume 9, pp. 205-209, 2003. Source: Marshall, W.E. and N.W. Garrick. "Evidence on Why Bike-Friendly Cities Are Safer for All Road Users," Environmental Practice, Volume 13, Number 1, pp. 16-27, 2011.

Increase walking, bicycling & transit



Questions & Discussion

Robert Schneider, PhD, Professor University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Department of Urban Planning E-mail: <u>rjschnei@uwm.edu</u> Twitter: **@pedbikebob**

<u>UW-Milwaukee</u> Natalie Marshall Will Henning

<u>Toole Design Group</u> Sara Schooley

Jessica Schoner

<u>City of Milwaukee</u> Marissa Meyer