

**Faculty Meeting Agenda**  
**February 5, 2016 2:00-3:30 PM**  
\*\*Room # 365\*\*

- I. Call to order
- II. Adoption/Modification of Agenda
- III. Automatic consent: Approval of the December 7, 2015 meeting minutes\*
- IV. Old Business
- V. New business
  - A. The first item has to be voting on APCC recommendations for student funding (otherwise it will not get done)
  - B. Summary on UWM Shared Research Facilities activities (Klaper 5 minutes)
  - C. An update on the course matrix discussion (done largely by email earlier in January). This is actually the final act in our curriculum discussion of last semester, ie. what do we need to teach and who is going to do it? We need to get this all ironed out so we can at least tell students what we plan to teach in fall 2016.
  - D. What are the plans for the future of SFS in light of losing Consi and Roebber
  - E. An update on the progress (if any) of the discussions about joining forces in some regard with the School of Architecture. This is the opening salvo in what should be a long hard look at what we want to do in the face of the upcoming budget cuts. Do we want to join in the "Natural Sciences rebellion" which currently is under discussion on campus (this does not include Engineering)? Do we want to have some sort of UG presence - not necessarily a full degree program? Do we want to remain as autonomous as we are now? Some discussions are already going on along these lines, but the full faculty needs to weigh in before long.
- VI. Committee action/discussion items (chairs)
  - A. APCC (Carvan)
  - B. Planning, Governance and Research Committee (McLellan)
  - C. EC report (Grundl)
  - D. Grad rep report (Bootsma)
- VII. Associate Dean's remarks
  - A. Associate Dean Academics (Roebber) final report
  - B. Associate Dean Research (Klump)
- VIII. Announcements and Reminders
  - A. HR report (Ottman)\*

### **EC meeting 3:30-4:30**

(EC only) Rebecca's application for nomination as a Distinguished Professor. The EC has to forward (or not) a nomination to the Dean and then it goes to the Distinguished Profs who make the final decision. The EC needs to solicit outside letters (8 to 10 of them) as a part of the nomination.

(EC only) There is a general concern about the progress of our un-tenured faculty as evidenced by our yearly reviews. We need to make sure that all un-tenured folks are getting a unified message on where they should be investing their time. In the current fiscal climate where a loss of a faculty member is likely permanent we cannot let this go un-addressed.